TEACHER REPORT

| Name of Teacher | TEO MENG SHIN, RYAN |
|---|---------------------|
| Module CS2103-Software Engineering (TUTORIAL) | |
| Academic Year/Sem | 2018/2019 - SEM 1 |
| Department | COMPUTER SCIENCE |
| Faculty | SCHOOL OF COMPUTING |

| Raters | Student |
|----------------|---------|
| Responded | 9 |
| Invited | 20 |
| Response Ratio | 45% |

Note:

Class Size = Invited; Response Size = Responded; Response Rate = Response Ratio

A. GUIDELINES FOR INTERPRETING THE REPORT

The teacher evaluation report is for developmental purposes and is meant to help identify strengths and areas for improvement. Please consider the following recommendations that will aid in interpreting the results:

- 1. Examine the report by taking note of patterns in order to consider how best to act on the feedback your students have taken the time to provide. Use the reflection section at the end to reflect upon how you might act on the feedback.
- 2. These evaluations stem from student perception and thus constitute one source of evidence among others as to the quality of your teaching. Any response to the feedback should be based on the most representative results rather than on outlying responses.
- 3. Upon getting a general sense as to what has gone well, and which areas may require attention and improvement, it is important to drill down to the related questions. These questions can help guide future action if feedback from students suggest areas for improvement.
- 4. Keep both the likert scale and written comments in mind while reading through the report. High scores (4+) suggest student consensus indicating a strength. On the other hand, low scores (2-) should be considered as an area that requires immediate developmental focus based on student feedback.

B. NOMINATION FOR TEACHING AWARDS


| | Response Count |
|--|----------------|
| I would like to nominate TEO MENG SHIN, RYAN for teaching awards | 0 |


[No Response]

C. SUMMARY OF TEACHING SCORES

(i) Teaching Rating Score Analysis

| uestion | | Average Score (TEACHER) | | S S | | Faculty Average (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING) | |
|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | _ | Mean | Standard Deviation | Mean | Standard Deviation | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| Overall, the teacher is effective. | | 4.5 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 0.9 |





| Question | | Average Score (TEACHER) | | oartment verage MPUTER IENCE) | (SCF | ty Average HOOL OF PUTING) |
|---|------|----------------------------|------|--|------|----------------------------------|
| | Mean | Standard Deviation | Mean | Standard Deviation | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability. | 4.8 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 0.8 |
| The teacher provided timely and useful feedback. | 4.6 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 0.9 |
| The teacher has increased my interest in the subject. | 4.6 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 0.9 |
| Average of Q1-Q3 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 4.1 | - | 4.1 | - |

| Question | Average Score (TEACHER) | Dept Average by Activity & Level (COMPUTER SCIENCE- TUTORIAL (Level 2000)) | Fac Average by Activity & Level (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING- TUTORIAL (Level 2000)) | Dept Average by Activity (COMPUTER SCIENCE- TUTORIAL) | Fac Average by Activity (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING- TUTORIAL) |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability. | 4.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| The teacher provided timely and useful feedback. | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| The teacher has increased my interest in the subject. | 4.6 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Average of Q1-Q3 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 |


Department Specific Questions

| Question | | Average Score Ave (TEACHER) (COMF | | artment erage IPUTER ENCE) | |
|--|------|-----------------------------------|------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Mean | Standard Deviation | Mean | Standard Deviation | |
| The teacher has enhanced my ability to communicate the subject material. | 4.5 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 0.8 | |


| Question | Average Score (TEACHER) | | A\ (COI | oartment verage MPUTER IENCE) |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| | Mean | Standard Deviation | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way. | 4.5 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 0.8 |

| Question | | Average Score (TEACHER) | | oartment verage MPUTER IENCE) |
|---|------|----------------------------|------|--|
| | Mean | Standard Deviation | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| The teacher cares about student development and learning. | 4.5 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 0.8 |


(ii) Teacher Rating Frequency Analysis




The teacher has enhanced my ability to communicate the subject material.




The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.




The teacher cares about student development and learning.




(iii) Teacher Rating Analysis Based on Scale Distribution




The teacher has enhanced my ability to communicate the subject material.



The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.



The teacher cares about student development and learning.



(iv) Teacher Rating Scores vs. Gender

| Question | M | F | Overall |
|---|-----|-----|---------|
| The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability. | 4.8 | 5.0 | 4.8 |
| The teacher provided timely and useful feedback. | 4.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |
| The teacher has increased my interest in the subject. | 4.5 | 5.0 | 4.6 |

D. STRENGTHS

What are TEO MENG SHIN, RYAN's strengths?

Comments

Clear and effective during tutorial sessions given the limited time available, gives useful and helpful advice and feedback on the project and is familiar with the course content

E. AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

What improvements would you suggest to TEO MENG SHIN, RYAN?

| Comments | |
|----------|--|
| -nil- | |

F. SELF-REFLECTION

- 1. When comparing these results to the previous year's results, what areas have shown improvement?
- 2. What areas remain to be improved and what are the necessary steps / actions to do so?
- 3. Are there colleagues who could potentially guide me?
- 4. Are there issues that require departmental or institutional support?